Rama Varmha Tampuran.—(a) Yes. The Government simply accepted the resolution of the Legislative Council on the subject. They did not consider that it required any alteration except that instead of 1st Chingam 1104, the date of commencement of the concession should be fixed at 1st Chingam 1105.

(b) Vide the latest Civil List.

Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar.—Were complaints received by the Government regarding this aspect from the clerks?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—Yes. There have been petitions from clerks that they may also be given a concession.

Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar.—Was that aspect considered by the Government?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—Yes.

Complaints against the toll-gate keepers of Trichur and Ernakulam.

4. **Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar.**—Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether there were complaints during the last quarter of last year reported to the Government against the toll-gate keeper of the Trichur Municipality for unnecessarily delaying a car; if so, when was it and what was the exact nature of the complaint and who made the complaint; who was the driver of the car; was the report sent to the Trichur Municipal Chairman for enquiry; what was the report of the Chairman; was any explanation taken from the toll keeper; if so what was his explanation; how the matter was finally disposed of;

(b) has the very same driver or his master complained to the Government against the toll gate keeper of Ernakulam for assaulting him (driver) near the toll-gate; if so what was the complaint and how many persons were arrested and what further actions were taken;

(c) number of such complaints Government received regarding the toll-gate keepers of Ernakulam and Trichur during the last year; were the complaints referred to in (a) and (b) duly stamped?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—(a) No complaint for unnecessarily delaying a car was received.

(b) and (c). No answer is necessary in view of the answer to (a).

Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar.—Were any compliants received by the Government regarding the toll-gate keeper of Trichur?

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—There were no formal complaints before the Government.

Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar.—Was there any complaint?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—No, there was no complaint.

Transfer of jurisdiction of Mukundapuram.

5. Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar.—Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) whether they have received petitions recently for the transfer of the jurisdiction of the Mukundapuram Taluk ;

(b) if so, the number of such petitions ;

(c) was the matter referred to the Chief Court ;

(d) if so, what was their opinion in the matter ;

(e) how the matter was disposed of ?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—(a) Yes.

(b) Five, including the representations from the Trichur Bar Association.

(c) Yes.

(d) The Judges did not favour the transfer.

(e) The proposal for the transfer of jurisdiction was not given effect to.

Copy of a Proceedings of the Diwan.

6. Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar.—Will the Government be pleased to place on the table a copy of the Diwan's Proceedings Dis. No. 1269/99?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—Unless the subject matter of the Diwan's Proceedings or the date is stated it is not possible to furnish the information, as there are more than one Diwan's Proceedings of the same number.

Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar.—Here it is stated, that there are more than one Diwan's Proceedings of the same number. May I know how many Proceedings there are ?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—There may be four because there are four sections. There are four departments in the Huzur and this number might have been from either of these four sections.

Supply of furniture to Vakils' rooms.

7. Mr. Thomas Manjuran.—Will the Government be pleased to state :—

Is the Government aware that absolutely no furniture has been provided in the newly opened vakils' rooms built at the instance of this Council. Do the Government propose to

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly